4 cfcoz

+IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION No.2276 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Ghanshyam Das Gangwani, S/o. Late Shri Wadhumal Gangawani, aged about 59 years, resident of infront of Laxmi Talkies, Bilaspur, Tehsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
   Through Principal Secretary of Law,
   Department of Law and Legislature of
   Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. District and Session Judge
   Bilaspur/Competent, Authority under Notary
   Rules, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Deputy Secretary,
   State of Chhattisgarh,
   Department of Law and Legislature of
   Chhhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
4. District Magistrate, Bilaspur
   Tehsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

Attested
3-9
3/9/03
Advocate

P. R. No. 2098/03
Presented by Shri G.D. Gangwani
Dated 3/9/03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

TO

4 cfrms

AH

In Separated order dt. 23/9/03

Petitioner 23/9/03

"Resp.No.5 : Union of India
Through Secretary
Deptt. of Law and Legislature of
Govt.of India,New Delhi.





XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2276/03 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28-4-2005 Shri Y.C. Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate and Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Shri Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter is exactly covered by the decision of this Court passed on 24-3-2005 in batch of cases pertaining to Notary. He further submits that some how it could not be listed alongwith that batch of cases. He also relied upon the paragraph 28(b) of the said order, which is relevant and quoted below : *"**28(b).** Consequently, on account of quashment of the impugned order dated 31st December, 2002, which is the basis for refusal of renewal of certificates of the petitioners in W.P.Nos.494/2003, 1894/2003 and 624/2003, the orders refusing their renewal stand quashed and these petitions are allowed."* On the last date of hearing, time was taken by learned counsel for the State to point out the difference. Now, the counsel appearing for the State submits that the matter is covered by the decision of this Court passed on 24-3-2005 in writ petition No.3247/2003 (***Chandra Prakash Sharma vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other similar cases. Having thus considered and for the reasons stated in the order dated 24-3-2005 passed in the case of ***Chandra Prakash Sharma*** (supra) and other similar cases, this petition is allowed and the order for refusing renewal of the certificate to practice as Notary public is quashed. The State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner on its own merits and decided the same in accordance with law. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	
Gowri		

(पाछ दाखय